UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF HAWAII

WILLIAM PYE, KERI PYE, BRIAN
SEIDL, JACQUELINE SEIDL, ALVARO
SALAZAR, BRYANNE SALAZAR,
TREMAYNE GRAF, LELA GRAF, TULSA
KIRK, BENJAMIN KIRK, MICHAEL
SEWARD, TONI SEWARD, CORY
LENTOWSKI, APRIL LENTOWSKI,
WILLIAM LAW, ELIZABETH LAW,
JAIME MURPHY, MATTHEW EDENS,
DEBORAH EDENS, NATHAN MALSAM,
JERRICA MALSAM,

Plaintiffs,

VS.

FOREST CITY RESIDENTIAL
MANAGEMENT, INC., OHANA
MILITARY COMMUNITIES, LLC, DOE
DEFENDANTS 1-10,

Defendants.

CIV. NO. 17-00114 LEK-KJM

ORDER GRANTING THE PLAINTIFFS' MOTIONS FOR REMAND TO STATE COURT

On October 2, 2018, the following cases were stayed and administratively closed, pending the outcome of Lake, et al., CV 16-00555 LEK-KJM ("Lake"):

- 1) Butler, et al. v. Ohana Military Communities, LLC, et al., CV 16-00626 LEK-KJM ("Butler");
- Dix, et al. v. Ohana Military Communities, LLC, et al., CV 16-00627 LEK-KJM;
- 3) Manaea, et al. v. Ohana Military Communities, LLC, et al., CV 16-00628 LEK-KJM;
- 4) Bartlett, et al. v. Ohana Military Communities, LLC, et al., CV 16-00654 LEK-KJM;

- 5) Torres, et al. v. Ohana Military Communities, LLC, et al., CV 16-00655 LEK-KJM;
- 6) Hayes, et al. v. Ohana Military Communities, LLC, et al., CV 17-00047 LEK-KJM; and
- 7) Pye, et al. v. Ohana Military Communities, LLC, et al., CV 17-00114 (all collectively "Lake-Related Cases").

See, e.g., Butler, Minutes - EO: Court Order Administratively

Closing Case, filed 10/2/18 (dkt. no. 28). Lake has been

remanded to state court, pursuant to Lake v. Ohana Military

Communities, LLC, 14 F.4th 993 (9th Cir. 2021). See Lake, Order

Remanding Case to State Court, filed 1/10/22 (dkt. no. 209);

id., transmittal letter to Circuit Court (O`ahu First Circuit)

from the Clerk of Court, filed 1/25/22 (dkt. no. 210).1

Further, in another case related to <u>Lake - Ochoa, et</u>

al. v. Ohana Military Communities, LLC, et al., CV 16-00629 LEK
KJM ("Ochoa") - this Court rejected Defendants Ohana Military

Communities, LLC ("Ohana") and Forest City Residential

Management, Inc.'s ("Forrest City" and collectively

"Defendants") diversity jurisdiction argument, which was not

raised in <u>Lake.</u> See Ochoa, Order Granting Plaintiffs' Motion

for Remand to State Court, filed 3/7/23 (dkt. no. 40) ("Ochoa

Remand Order"). Ochoa was remanded to the state court on

¹ After <u>Lake</u> was remanded to state court, the United States Supreme Court denied Defendants petition for a writ of certiorari. <u>See</u> <u>Ohana Military Communities</u>, <u>LLC v. Lake</u>, 142 S. Ct. 2815 (2022).

 $^{^2}$ Ohana and Forrest City are the defendants in $\underline{\text{Lake}}\text{, }\underline{\text{Ochoa}}\text{,}$ and the Lake-Relate Cases.

March 22, 2023. <u>See id.</u>, transmittal letter to Circuit Court (Oahu First Circuit) from the Clerk of Court, filed 3/22/23 (dkt. no. 41).

On March 13, 2023, the plaintiffs in the Lake-Related Cases filed motions to remand their respective cases to the state court (collectively "Motions"). See Butler, Plaintiffs' Motion for Remand to State Court, filed 3/13/23 (dkt. no. 31) ("Butler Motion"); Dix, Plaintiffs' Motion for Remand to State Court, filed 3/13/23 (dkt. no. 32); Manaea, Plaintiffs' Motion for Remand to State Court, filed 3/13/23 (dkt. no. 33); Bartlett, Plaintiffs' Motion for Remand to State Court, filed 3/13/23 (dkt. no. 34); Torres, Plaintiffs' Motion for Remand to State Court, filed 3/13/23 (dkt. no. 32); Hayes, Plaintiffs' Motion for Remand to State Court, filed 3/13/23 (dkt. no. 30); Pye, Plaintiffs' Motion for Remand to State Court, filed 3/13/23 (dkt. no. 29). Each of the Motions argues the case should be remanded based upon the Ninth Circuit's analysis in Lake and this Court's analysis in the Ochoa Remand Order. See, e.g., Butler Motion at PageID.899.

On March 16, 2023, this Court informed the parties that it was inclined to grant the Motions and ordered that any objections to the inclination be filed within a week. See, e.g., Butler, Minute Order - EO: Court's Inclination to Grant the Plaintiffs' Motions for Remand to State Court, filed 3/16/23

(dkt. no. 30). Defendants filed a substantively identical statement in each of the Lake-Related Cases. See, e.g., Butler, Defendants' Statement of Position Regarding Court's Inclination to Grant the Plaintiffs' Motion for Remand to State Court, filed 3/16/23 (dkt. no. 30) ("Butler Statement"). To preserve their objections for the record, Defendants state they believe federal jurisdiction in the case is appropriate, but they acknowledge the arguments they would make in opposition to the Butler Motion "are not materially distinguishable from" those that they made previously and that were rejected by the Ninth Circuit in Lake and by this Court in the Ochoa Remand Order. Defendants state "further briefing on this matter is not warranted given the prior rulings of the Ninth Circuit and this Court." [Id. at 3.]

The Court finds the Motions suitable for disposition without a hearing pursuant to Rule LR7.1(c) of the Local Rules of Practice for the United States District Court for the District of Hawaii ("Local Rules"). Based upon the Ninth Circuit's analysis in Lake and, for the reasons stated in the Ochoa Remand Order, the Lake-Related Cases must be remanded to the state court.

CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, the plaintiffs' Motions for Remand to State Court, filed in each of the <u>Lake-Related Cases</u> on March 13, 2023, is HEREBY GRANTED. The Clerk's Office is

DIRECTED to reopen this case immediately and to effectuate the remand on April 12, 2023, unless Defendants file a timely motion for reconsideration of the instant Order.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED AT HONOLULU, HAWAII, March 28, 2023.



/s/ Leslie E. Kobayashi
Leslie E. Kobayashi
United States District Judge

WILLIAM PYE, ET AL. VS. OHANA MILITARY COMMUNITIES, LLC, ET AL; CIVIL 17-00114 LEK-KJM; ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFFS' MOTIONS FOR REMAND TO STATE COURT